Open licensing and copyright: Open source, Creative Commons etc.

Advokat Martin von Haller Grønbæk Bird & Bird

Folketingets Kulturudvalgs høring om digital innovation og vækst 24. september 2014

Sharing of and access to information creates values

Digital information WANTS to be copied

But creation of information (often) needs to be incentivized

Thus: Copyright

Sharing information freely increasingly makes sense

Digital democracy and online access to culture and heritage

But free sharing and open access are also good for business

Exhibit 1: Open Source

Open source dominates all stacks of computing

Big business: From startup to blue chip

Open license to use

Run the program

Look at the source code

Make modifications

Distribute the program

Why is Microsoft a top 5 contributor of open source code to Linux?

Sale of Services (not of copies)

Development Adaptations Security Maintenance **Training** Hardware

Open source is a Hack on copyright

No copyright = no open source licenses

Other open licenses

Open Data

Creative Commons (Open Content)

License tools for text, video, sound, photos etc.

Efficient access and sharing of digital content

Reduction of transaction cost





The Creator decides

Does Creative Commons need copyright reform?

In general, not neccesary, but...

Copyright reform is needed, cf. Hargreaves!

Two wishes!

More free content under CC licenses!

All publicly funded content should be free!

We have paid for it once, why should we pay for it again!

Open Data

Open Access

Open Source

Open Culture

Open by default – comply or explain

Orphan works should be released under open licenses!

In conclusion

We need more sharing and access not less!

Open business gives sustainable growth

Huge democratic and culture potential

Embrace it or face extinction

Thank you

E: martin@vonhaller.cc T: @vonhaller