## Energi-, Forsynings- og Klimaudvalget 2016-17 EFK Alm.del Bilag 337 Offentligt EUSurvey - Survey

| (/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)   |  |
|--|--|
|  | vey/auth/login/runner)   Help 🗤   Language 😽 |
| _  |  |
| Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using   | a public/shared computer) Sta                |
| Public consultation for the evaluation of the TEN-E regulation   |  |
| Fields marked with * are mandatory.  | La<br>[E                                     |
|  | Co   |
|  |  |
| Identification   | ✓ (m   |
|  | rop  |
| 1.<br>Are you replying as:   |  |
|  | Do   |
| *  | ^  |
| . □ a private individual   |  |
| □ an organisation or a company   |  |
| ☑ a public authority or an international organisation  | •  |
|  |  |
| *2.  |  |
| Please indicate your name, the name of your company, organisation, or<br>institution for which you respond to this consultation.   |  |
| Danish Ministry of Energy, Utilities and Climate   |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 3.   | ^  |
| Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register?  |  |
| If your organisation is not registered, we invite you<br>to register here (https://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/ri/registering.do?locale=en),<br>although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this |  |
| consultation. Why<br>a transparency register? (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/staticPage/displayStaticPage.do?<br>locale=en&reference=WHY_TRANSPARENCY_REGISTER)  |  |
| O YES  |  |
| O NO   |  |
| Not applicable   | ~  |
|  |  |
| * 4.   |  |
| Contact email address  |  |
| @ liski@efkm.dk  |  |
| 5.   |  |
| Where are you based and/or where do you carry out your activity?   |  |
|  |  |
| *.   |  |
| □ Austria  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| □ Croatia<br>□ Cyprus  |  |
| Czech Republic   |  |
|  |  |
| □ Estonia<br>□ Finland   |  |
|  |  |
| France   |  |

| □ Germany (/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner)                   | All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)                 | ~        |             |
|---|--|----------|-------------|
| Greece  | Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner)                                      | Help     |             |
| Hungary   |  |          | 1 Euligaago |
| Ireland   |  |          |             |
| □ Italy   |  |          |             |
| 🗆 Latvia  |  |          |             |
| 🗆 Lithuania   |  |          |             |
| Luxembourg  |  |          |             |
| □ Malta   |  |          |             |
| □ The Netherlands   |  |          |             |
| □ Poland  |  |          |             |
| □ Portugal  |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| $\Box$ Slovakia   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| □ Sweden  |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| United Kingdom Char   |  | $\sim$   |             |
| □ Other   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| 6.  |  |          |             |
| Your contribution,  |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers             |  |          |             |
| may be subject to a request for public access to docume     |  |          |             |
| (http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/PDF/r1049_er         | n.pdf)(EC)   |          |             |
| N°1049/2001   |  |          |             |
| • can be published with your personal information           | on (I consent the publication of all information in my contribution in   |          |             |
|   | ation's name, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful  |          |             |
| or would infringe the rights of any third party in a n      |  |          |             |
|   | onymous (I consent to the publication of any information in my           |          |             |
| contribution in whole or in part (which may include         | quotes or opinions I express) provided that it is done anonymously. I    |          |             |
| declare that nothing within my response is unlawfu          | I or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would | <u> </u> |             |
| prevent the publication.                                    |  | •        |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| 7.  |  |          |             |
| Do you have personal knowledge of the TEN-E Regulat         | ion and the PCI framework  |          |             |
| introduced by the regulation?                               |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| *   |  | ~        |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| YES   |  |          |             |
| O NO  |  | •        |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| 8.  |  |          |             |
| Do you have any experience in participating in a public     | consultation process as  |          |             |
| part of permit granting, for an energy infrastructure proje |  |          |             |
| or elsewhere?   | sol in your area   |          |             |
| OI EISEWIICIE !   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  | ~        |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| YES   |  |          |             |
| O NO  |  | ~        |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
|   |  |          |             |
| Relevance and   |  |          |             |

coherence

| 9. (/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys  | /runner)                            |                 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Do you know of any national or local policies that conflict with the idea of   | Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 😽 Language |
| enhancing trans-European energy infrastructure?  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     | ~               |
| ·.   |                                     |                 |
| O YES  |                                     |                 |
| ● NO   |                                     | •               |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| Effectiveness  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| 10.  |                                     |                 |
| Do you think the implementation of the trans-European energy infrastructure  |                                     |                 |
| which allow for interconnecting of national energy networks improves the   |                                     |                 |
| energy systems and contributes to keeping energy prices in   |                                     |                 |
| check?   |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| *.   |                                     | ~               |
| Z Yes, I am aware of greater energy interconnection across the EU which helps to introduce   | more market competition             |                 |
| ✓ Yes, I am aware that reinforcing our energy networks makes the system more secure  |                                     |                 |
| ☐ Yes, I am aware that energy networks are becoming more integrated but I was unaware of   | the benefits that such              |                 |
| integration brings   |                                     |                 |
| $\square$ No, I was not aware that markets across Europe were becoming better interconnected and   | more integrated                     | ~               |
|  |                                     |                 |
| 11.  |                                     |                 |
| Do you think the implementation of the TEN-E Regulation and develpment of  |                                     | ^               |
| trans-European energy infrastructure is helping Europe to develop more renewable   |                                     |                 |
| energy?  |                                     | ~               |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| *.   |                                     | ^               |
| ☑ Yes, I am aware of greater energy infrastructure across the EU which facilitates the develo  | pment of renewable energy           | Î               |
| □ Yes, I am aware that renewable energy development has increased but was unaware of th  | e connection to trans-              |                 |
| European infrastructure  |                                     |                 |
| □ No, I was not aware of the role for the trans-European energy infrastructure in enabling ren   | ewable energy development           | •               |
|  |                                     |                 |
| 12.  |                                     |                 |
| One of the aims of the TEN-E Regulation is to improve the transparency of the  |                                     |                 |
| planning and development of energy infrastructure projects. Have you noticed any   |                                     |                 |
| change in the transparency of the planning and building process since the TEN-E  |                                     |                 |
| Regulation was implemented in 2013?  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| *.   |                                     |                 |
| Yes, energy infrastructure planning and building has become more transparent in recent yes   | ears                                |                 |
| ☑ Yes, opportunities for public participation in energy infrastructure development (e.g. public  | meetings, questionnaires,           |                 |
| information notices) have increased in recent years  |                                     |                 |
| No, I have not noticed any changes with regard to transparancy of planning and building of<br>vegeta   | energy infrastructure in recent     | ~               |
| years  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| Do you feel that there are sufficient possibilities in your country to provide   |                                     |                 |
| input into the planning and building process of energy infrastructure  |                                     |                 |
| projects?  |                                     |                 |
|  |                                     |                 |
| *  |                                     | ~               |
|  |                                     | 1               |
| Yes, there are many possibilities to get involved in energy infrastructure planning and build<br>questionnaires, information potices)  | ing (e.g. public meetings,          |                 |
| questionnaires, information notices)  There may be sufficient possibilities to get involved in energy infrastructure planning and but the planning and but t | ilding but I am not aware of        |                 |
| them   | and goat an not award of            |                 |
| □ No, I would like to see more opportunities to participate in energy infrastructure developme   | nt                                  |                 |
| I am not interested in participating in energy infrastructure planning and building  |                                     | ~               |

## Efficiency and the survey (/and the survey //and the surv Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 🗤 | Language 🗤 value

14

Do you think it is worthwhile for the EU to try to enhance regional cooperation to develop energy infrastructure?

\*

🗹 Yes, it is important for the EU to enhance regional cooperation on energy infrastructure because there are similar challenges across borders

□ Yes, it is important for the EU to enhance regional cooperation on energy infrastructure because national governments do not sufficiently prioritise cross-border links

□ No, there is already sufficient level of regional cooperation on energy infrastructure

□ No, regional cooperation on energy infrastructure is not necessary

## Alternatives

## 15.

Do you have any suggestions on how to improve the PCI framework?

Denmark supports the overall aim of the Regulation to facilitate cross-border energy infrastructure. We believe this can be achieved through a common understanding of the implementation of the Regulation. Issues and challenges in this regard could well be discussed at the annual Energy Infrastructure Forum. On that basis, Denmark does not see a need to revise the Regulation.

Denmark has encountered different interpretations of the Regulation's requirements by the Member States' national competent authorities. This does not necessarily require changes to the Regulation but could be remedied through a common understanding of the Regulation.

Denmark has encountered uncertainties about responsibilities for other Member States' one-stop-shops. It is our assessment that more time and experience with the Regulation will probably solve these uncertainties.

It would be useful for the Member States to have the manuals of procedures accessible in more languages - not just their national language.

It has been a challenge for the project promoters to coordinate the first public consultation due to different national procedures and understandings of the Regulation. Some flexibility in the interpretation of the Regulation would be useful.

An example of this need for more flexibility is the Regulation's requirements that even projects only crossing a Member State's territory offshore must hold public meetings in the Member State concerned, even though there are no obvious parties to the hearing. It is our experience that there is little or no public interest in these hearings. Therefore, if possible, it would be helpful if the Regulation could be interpreted in a way that such situations could be exempted from holding public meetings - but of course with the possibility of involving citizens through written hearings.

Denmark and the rest of the EU has an interest in ensuring that projects of a common EU interest are implemented on time, as they reinforce security of supply and are a prerequisite for achieving the common goals of a genuine internal energy market. On that basis stronger follow-up mechanisms could be considered to ensure that projects of such major European importance are completed in due time.

16

If you wish to add further information - within the scope of this questionnaire

- please feel free to do so here.

1000

character(s) maximum

| The Energy Infension of the second state of the significance of the second state of th | ys/runner)<br>Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner)   Help 🗤   Language 🤸 |
|--|---|
| Firstly, the expansion of adequate electricity connections between EU Member States is necessary to promote renewable energy integration, ensure a fully integrated and well-functioning internal market and increase supply security.   |   |
| Secondly, infrastructure development should primarily take place on a market-driven basis and in accordance with the Infrastructure Regulation, avoiding undercutting and over-investment in infrastructure.   |   |
| Thirdly, regional cooperation - such as Nordic cooperation - is a crucial tool for overcoming barriers to the expansion of infrastructure.   |   |
| 17.<br>Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position<br>paper.<br>Please   |   |
| ote that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the<br>uestionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The<br>locument is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading   |   |
| better understand your position.       Select file to upload   |   |
| Submit Save as Draft   |   |
| EUSurvey is supported by the European Commission's ISA FAQ (/eusurvey/home/helppartic  | ipants)   Support (/eusurvey/home/support/runner)                       |

programme (http://ec.europa.eu/isa), which promotes interoperability solutions for European public administrations.