

**Madsen, Søren R. N.**

---

**Fra:** Jakobsen, Dorte Skjøtt  
**Sendt:** 30. november 2009 14:10  
**Til:** Jakobsen, Dorte Skjøtt  
**Emne:** Nr. 2 HCB waste

---

**Fra:** Madsen, Søren R. N.  
**Sendt:** fr 30-01-2009 14:51  
**Til:** 'Reville, Barry'  
**Cc:** Schou, Lone  
**Emne:** SV: Updated Report [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Dear Barry

I have just received clearance from my boss, and we have sent the DRR and SIA report today (friday). The documents is sent, without any restriction for the recipients, according to Danish law.

I hope to have a response for you on tuesday regarding the question on Kommune Kemi emissions.

Best regards

**Søren Madsen**

Head of Section, legal advisor.  
Soil & Waste  
Mail: somm@mst.dk  
Dir. tlf. : (+45) 72 54 41 40

**Danish Environmental Protection Agency**

Strandgade 29  
DK-1401 København K  
Tlf.: (+45) 72 54 40 00  
[www.mst.dk](http://www.mst.dk)

---

**Fra:** Reville, Barry [mailto:Barry.Reville@environment.gov.au]  
**Sendt:** 30. januar 2009 07:38  
**Til:** Madsen, Søren R. N.  
**Cc:** Schou, Lone; Hall, Damien; Rothenfluh, Daniel  
**Emne:** Updated Report [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

<<SIA IAR Final Draft 290109.pdf>>

Dear Søren

As promised yesterday, please find attached the updated report from SIA. The changes are minor. The biggest change is the addition of a preamble on page 10. This addition is to make it clear to the reader in the public domain that SIA has assessed the technologies for their ability to deal with the Orica HCB waste stockpile with its unique characteristics, not to judge whether the technologies are able to deal with other waste streams.

Otherwise, a potential customer might wrongly conclude that a technology could not deal with a waste stream such as PCBs when it can deal with it effectively, but not the Orica HCB wastes.

Small editorial changes have been made where sentences were not clear, to correct minor errors, to improve descriptions of processes and to include events since the previous version was written, such as the closure of the Ausmelt Whyalla facility. SIA has not provided us with a marked-up copy of these minor changes but they are mostly in the Hydrodec and Ausmelt sections.

As you will see, none of the conclusions has changed:

"This independent assessment has concluded that there are no technologies available in Australia at the present time or the foreseeable future capable of destruction or acceptable treatment of the Orica HCB Botany Stockpile in an environmentally sound manner." (page 11)

We would be grateful if you could let us know when you anticipate releasing the Duly Reasoned Request and the SIA Report, and whether there will be any conditions placed on the distribution of the documents by the recipients.

This will assist us with dealing with enquiries from the media and others in Australia about the availability and contents of the documents.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the updated SIA report.

Best regards

Barry

-----  
If you have received this transmission in error please notify us immediately by return e-mail and delete all copies. If this e-mail or any attachments have been sent to you in error, that error does not constitute waiver of any confidentiality, privilege or copyright in respect of information in the e-mail or attachments.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.  
-----